

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,065	11/05/2003	Peter Boda	59643.00345	6296	
32294 SOLURE SAN	7590 04/10/2007 IDERS & DEMPSEY L.I	EXAMINER			
14TH FLOOR			GARY, ERIKA A		
8000 TOWERS TYSONS COR	S CRESCENT RNER, VA 22182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/701,065	BODA, PETER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Erika A. Gary	2617		

	Elika A. Gary	2017					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af lice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailind). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•		٠				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-33,35,36 and 41</u> . Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 37-40.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							
·							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Borella and Sundar do not teach the limitations of independent claims 37 and 40. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees and maintains that the claim limitations are met by the cited portions of the references as outlined in the final office action.

ERIKA A GARY PRIMARY EXAMINER